

# $L^p$ estimates for the maximal functions

Alex Stokolos, Georgia Southern University

Dedicated to Olga Vladimirovna Voskoboinik  
(July 1, 2010)

# $L^p$ estimates for the maximal functions



$$M\varphi(x) = \sup_{I \ni x} \langle \varphi \rangle_I, \quad I - \text{dyadic.}$$

# $L^p$ estimates for the maximal functions



$$M\varphi(x) = \sup_{I \ni x;} \langle \varphi \rangle_I, \quad I - \text{dyadic.}$$

- ▶ Hardy–Littlewood–Doob–Cox

$$\|M\varphi\|_p < q\|\varphi\|_p, \quad \varphi \neq 0, \quad 1 < p < \infty.$$

# $L^p$ estimates for the maximal functions



$$M\varphi(x) = \sup_{I \ni x;} \langle \varphi \rangle_I, \quad I - \text{dyadic.}$$

- ▶ Hardy–Littlewood–Doob–Cox

$$\|M\varphi\|_p < q\|\varphi\|_p, \quad \varphi \neq 0, \quad 1 < p < \infty.$$

- ▶ Nazarov and Treil (*Algebra i Analiz* (1996), 32-162):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}(f, F, L) = \sup_{0 \leq \varphi \in L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})} & \left\{ \langle (M\varphi)^p \rangle_Q : \langle \varphi \rangle_Q = f; \right. \\ & \left. \langle \varphi^p \rangle_Q = F; \sup_{R \supset Q} \langle \varphi \rangle_R = L \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

# $L^p$ estimates for the maximal functions

- ▶ Nazarov and Treil (1996):  $\mathbf{B}(f, F, L) \leq q^p F - pqfL^{p-1} + pL^p.$

# $L^p$ estimates for the maximal functions

- ▶ Nazarov and Treil (1996):  $\mathbf{B}(f, F, L) \leq q^p F - pqfL^{p-1} + pL^p.$
- ▶ Melas (*Advances in Mathematics* (2005), 310-340) found  $\mathbf{B}(f, F, L).$

# $L^p$ estimates for the maximal functions

- ▶ Nazarov and Treil (1996):  $\mathbf{B}(f, F, L) \leq q^p F - pqfL^{p-1} + pL^p$ .
- ▶ Melas (*Advances in Mathematics* (2005), 310-340) found  $\mathbf{B}(f, F, L)$ .
- ▶ In particular case  $p = 2$ :

$$\mathbf{B}(f, F, L) = \begin{cases} (\sqrt{F} + \sqrt{F + L^2 - 2Lf})^2 & \text{for } L \leq 2f \\ L^2 + 4(F - f^2) & \text{for } L \geq 2f \end{cases}$$

# $L^p$ estimates for the maximal functions

- ▶ Nazarov and Treil (1996):  $\mathbf{B}(f, F, L) \leq q^p F - pqfL^{p-1} + pL^p$ .
- ▶ Melas (*Advances in Mathematics* (2005), 310-340) found  $\mathbf{B}(f, F, L)$ .
- ▶ In particular case  $p = 2$ :

$$\mathbf{B}(f, F, L) = \begin{cases} (\sqrt{F} + \sqrt{F + L^2 - 2Lf})^2 & \text{for } L \leq 2f \\ L^2 + 4(F - f^2) & \text{for } L \geq 2f \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Slavin, Stokolos and Vasyunin (*C. R. Math. Acad. Sci.* (2008), 585-588) suggested the alternative approach based on application of the Monge-Ampére PDE.

# Interpolation via Bellman Function



$$\mathbf{B}(x_1, x_2) = \inf_{\varphi \geq 0} \{ \langle \sqrt{\varphi} \rangle_Q : \langle \varphi \rangle_Q = x_1; \langle \varphi^2 \rangle_Q = x_2 \}.$$

# Interpolation via Bellman Function



$$\mathbf{B}(x_1, x_2) = \inf_{\varphi \geq 0} \{ \langle \sqrt{\varphi} \rangle_Q : \langle \varphi \rangle_Q = x_1; \langle \varphi^2 \rangle_Q = x_2 \}.$$



$$\mathbf{B}(cx_1, c^2x_2) = c\mathbf{B}(x_1, x_2) \Rightarrow \mathbf{B}(x_1, x_2) = \sqrt{x_1} \mathbf{B}\left(1, \frac{x_2}{x_1^2}\right).$$

# Interpolation via Bellman Function



$$\mathbf{B}(x_1, x_2) = \inf_{\varphi \geq 0} \{ \langle \sqrt{\varphi} \rangle_Q : \langle \varphi \rangle_Q = x_1; \langle \varphi^2 \rangle_Q = x_2 \}.$$



$$\mathbf{B}(cx_1, c^2x_2) = c\mathbf{B}(x_1, x_2) \Rightarrow \mathbf{B}(x_1, x_2) = \sqrt{x_1} \mathbf{B}\left(1, \frac{x_2}{x_1^2}\right).$$



$$\mathbf{B}(x_1, x_1^2) = \sqrt{x_1}.$$

# Interpolation via Bellman Function



$$B(x_1, x_2) = \sqrt{x_1} C\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1^2}\right), \quad C(1) = 1.$$

# Interpolation via Bellman Function



$$B(x_1, x_2) = \sqrt{x_1} C\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1^2}\right), \quad C(1) = 1.$$

- ▶ Assuming that  $B_{x_1 x_1} B_{x_2 x_2} = B_{x_1 x_2}^2$  we get an ODE for the function  $C$  with the boundary condition  $C(1) = 1$ .

# Interpolation via Bellman Function



$$B(x_1, x_2) = \sqrt{x_1} C\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1^2}\right), \quad C(1) = 1.$$

- ▶ Assuming that  $B_{x_1 x_1} B_{x_2 x_2} = B_{x_1 x_2}^2$  we get an ODE for the function  $C$  with the boundary condition  $C(1) = 1$ .
- ▶ The solution  $C(t) = t^{-1/2}$  gives

$$B(x_1, x_2) = x_1 \sqrt{\frac{x_1}{x_2}}.$$

# Interpolation via Bellman Function



$B_{x_1, x_1} > 0 \Rightarrow$  concavity up

# Interpolation via Bellman Function



$B_{x_1, x_1} > 0 \Rightarrow$  concavity up



$$B\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k x_k\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k B(x_k) \quad \text{if} \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k = 1.$$

# Interpolation via Bellman Function



$$B_{x_1, x_1} > 0 \Rightarrow \text{concavity up}$$



$$B\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k x_k\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k B(x_k) \quad \text{if} \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k = 1.$$



$$B(x_1, x_2) = B\left(\sum_{I \subset [0,1]} |I|(\langle \varphi \rangle_I, \langle \varphi^2 \rangle_I)\right) \leq \sum_{I \subset [0,1]} |I|B(\langle \varphi \rangle_I, \langle \varphi^2 \rangle_I) =$$

# Interpolation via Bellman Function



$$B_{x_1, x_1} > 0 \Rightarrow \text{concavity up}$$



$$B\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k x_k\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k B(x_k) \quad \text{if} \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k = 1.$$



$$B(x_1, x_2) = B\left(\sum_{I \subset [0,1]} |I|(\langle \varphi \rangle_I, \langle \varphi^2 \rangle_I)\right) \leq \sum_{I \subset [0,1]} |I|B(\langle \varphi \rangle_I, \langle \varphi^2 \rangle_I) =$$



$$\sum_{I \subset [0,1]} |I| \frac{\langle \varphi \rangle_I^{3/2}}{\langle \varphi^2 \rangle_I^{1/2}} \approx \sum_{I \subset [0,1]} \langle \varphi \rangle_I^{1/2} |I| \rightarrow \langle \sqrt{\varphi} \rangle_Q.$$

# Interpolation via Bellman Function

- ▶ Taking infimum to the right we get

$$B(x_1, x_2) \leq \mathbf{B}(x_1, x_1^2)$$

# Interpolation via Bellman Function

- ▶ Taking infimum to the right we get

$$B(x_1, x_2) \leq \mathbf{B}(x_1, x_1^2)$$

- ▶ which implies

$$\frac{\langle \varphi \rangle_Q^{3/2}}{\langle \varphi^2 \rangle_Q^{1/2}} \leq \langle \sqrt{\varphi} \rangle_Q$$

# Interpolation via Bellman Function

- ▶ Taking infimum to the right we get

$$B(x_1, x_2) \leq \mathbf{B}(x_1, x_1^2)$$

- ▶ which implies

$$\frac{\langle \varphi \rangle_Q^{3/2}}{\langle \varphi^2 \rangle_Q^{1/2}} \leq \langle \sqrt{\varphi} \rangle_Q$$

- ▶ or

$$\|\varphi\|_1^3 \leq \|\varphi\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \|\varphi\|_2^2.$$

# Interpolation via Bellman Function

- ▶ Taking infimum to the right we get

$$B(x_1, x_2) \leq \mathbf{B}(x_1, x_1^2)$$

- ▶ which implies

$$\frac{\langle \varphi \rangle_Q^{3/2}}{\langle \varphi^2 \rangle_Q^{1/2}} \leq \langle \sqrt{\varphi} \rangle_Q$$

- ▶ or

$$\|\varphi\|_1^3 \leq \|\varphi\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \|\varphi\|_2^2.$$

- ▶ From Hölder

$$\|\varphi\|_1^4 \leq \|\varphi\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \|\varphi\|_{\frac{3}{2}}^3 \leq \|\varphi\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \|\varphi\|_2^3.$$

# Interpolation in Orlicz classes

**Theorem** (Slavin, S., Vasyunin).

Let

$$\mathbf{B}(x_1, x_2) = \inf_{\varphi \geq 0} \{ \langle \log(1+\varphi) \rangle_Q : \langle \varphi \rangle_Q = x_1; \langle (1+\varphi) \log(1+\varphi) \rangle_Q = x_2 \}.$$

Then

$$\mathbf{B}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{x_2}{1+w},$$

where  $w$  is the unique solution of the equation

$$\frac{(1+w) \log(1+w)}{w} = \frac{x_2}{x_1}.$$

in the domain  $(1, \infty)$ .