A NOTE ON THE GUROV-RESHETNYAK CONDITION

A.A. KORENOVSKYY, A.K. LERNER, AND A.M. STOKOLOS

ABSTRACT. An equivalence between the Gurov-Reshetnyak $GR(\varepsilon)$ and Muckenhoupt A_{∞} conditions is established. Our proof is extremely simple and works for arbitrary absolutely continuous measures.

Throughout the paper, μ will be a positive measure on \mathbb{R}^n absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure. Denote

$$\Omega_{\mu}(f;Q) = \frac{1}{\mu(Q)} \int_{Q} |f(x) - f_{Q,\mu}| d\mu(x), \quad f_{Q,\mu} = \frac{1}{\mu(Q)} \int_{Q} f(x) d\mu(x).$$

Definition 1. We say that a nonnegative function f, μ -integrable on a cube Q_0 , satisfies the Gurov-Reshetnyak condition $GR_{\mu}(\varepsilon)$, $0 < \varepsilon < 2$, if for any cube $Q \subset Q_0$,

(1)
$$\Omega_{\mu}(f;Q) \le \varepsilon f_{Q,\mu}.$$

When μ is Lebesgue measure we drop the subscript μ .

This condition appeared in [6, 7]. It is important in Quasi-Conformal Mappings, PDEs, Reverse Hölder Inequality Theory, etc. (see, e.g., [2, 8]). Since (1) trivially holds for all positive $f \in L_{\mu}(Q_0)$ if $\varepsilon = 2$, only the case $0 < \varepsilon < 2$ is of interest. It was established in [2, 6, 7, 8, 13] for Lebesgue measure and in [4, 5] for doubling measures that if ε is small enough, namely $0 < \varepsilon < c2^{-n}$, the $GR_{\mu}(\varepsilon)$ implies $f \in L^p_{\mu}(Q_0)$ with some p > 1 depending on ε . The machinery used in the articles mentioned above does not work for $\varepsilon > 1/8$ even in the one-dimensional case.

The one-dimensional improvement of these results was done in [9]. Namely, for any $0 < \varepsilon < 2$ it was proved that $GR(\varepsilon) \subset L^p_{loc}$ where $1 ; moreover a sharp bound <math>p(\varepsilon)$ for the exponent was discovered. The main tool in [9] is the Riesz Sunrising Lemma which has no multidimensional version since it involves the structure of open sets on a real line.

In the present article using simple arguments we prove that for any $n \geq 1$, $0 < \varepsilon < 2$ and arbitrary absolutely continuous measure μ , the Gurov-Reshetnyak condition $GR_{\mu}(\varepsilon)$ implies the weighted $A_{\infty}(\mu)$ Muckenhoupt condition. And conversely, $A_{\infty}(\mu)$ implies $GR_{\mu}(\varepsilon_0)$ for some $0 < \varepsilon_0 < 2$.

In the non-weighted (or doubling) case R.R. Coifman and C. Fefferman [3] have found several equivalent descriptions of the A_{∞} property. Recently these descriptions have been transferred by J. Orobitg and C. Perez [12] to the non-doubling case. For our purposes it will be convenient to define $A_{\infty}(\mu)$ by the following way.

Definition 2. We say that a nonnegative function f, μ -integrable on a cube Q_0 , satisfies Muckenhoupt condition $A_{\infty}(\mu)$ if there exist $0 < \alpha, \beta < 1$ such that for any cube $Q \subset Q_0$,

$$\mu\{x \in Q : f(x) > \beta f_{Q,\mu}\} > \alpha \mu(Q).$$

Our main result is the following

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 42B25.

The first author was partially supported by Ukrainian Foundation of Fundamental Research, grant F7/329 - 2001.

Theorem 1.

(i) Suppose that for some $0 < \varepsilon < 2$ nonnegative function f satisfies the inequality

$$\Omega_{\mu}(f;Q) \leq \varepsilon f_{Q,\mu}.$$

Then, for $\varepsilon < \lambda < 2$ we have

$$\mu\{x \in Q : f(x) > (1 - \varepsilon/\lambda)f_{Q,\mu}\} \ge (1 - \lambda/2)\mu(Q);$$

(ii) Suppose that for some $0 < \alpha, \beta < 1$ nonnegative function f satisfies the inequality

$$\mu\{x \in Q : f(x) > \beta f_{Q,\mu}\} > \alpha \mu(Q).$$

Then

$$\Omega_{\mu}(f;Q) \le 2(1-\alpha\beta)f_{Q,\mu}.$$

Proof. Set $E = \{x \in Q : f(x) > (1 - \varepsilon/\lambda) f_{Q,\mu}\}, E^c = Q \setminus E$. Suppose that $\mu(E^c) > 0$ (otherwise part (i) is trivial). Then

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda} f_{Q,\mu} \leq \inf_{x \in E^{c}} \left(f_{Q,\mu} - f(x) \right) \leq \frac{1}{\mu(E^{c})} \int_{E^{c}} \left(f_{Q,\mu} - f(x) \right) d\mu(x) \\
\leq \frac{1}{\mu(E^{c})} \int_{\{x \in Q: f(x) < f_{Q,\mu}\}} \left(f_{Q,\mu} - f(x) \right) d\mu(x) = \frac{1}{\mu(E^{c})} \frac{\mu(Q)}{2} \Omega_{\mu}(f;Q) \leq \frac{1}{\mu(E^{c})} \frac{\mu(Q)}{2} \varepsilon f_{Q,\mu}.$$

Hence, $\mu(E^c) \leq (\lambda/2)\mu(Q)$, as required.

To prove the second part, set $E = \{x \in Q : f(x) > \beta f_{Q,\mu}\}, E^c = Q \setminus E$. Then $\mu(E^c) \leq (1-\alpha)\mu(Q)$, and we have

$$\Omega_{\mu}(f;Q) = \frac{2}{\mu(Q)} \int_{\{x \in Q: \ f(x) < f_{Q,\mu}\}} (f_{Q,\mu} - f(x)) d\mu(x)
= \frac{2}{\mu(Q)} \int_{\{x \in Q: \beta f_{Q,\mu} < f(x) < f_{Q,\mu}\}} (f_{Q,\mu} - f(x)) d\mu(x) + \frac{2}{\mu(Q)} \int_{E^{c}} (f_{Q,\mu} - f(x)) d\mu(x)
\leq \frac{2}{\mu(Q)} f_{Q,\mu} ((1 - \beta)\mu(E) + \mu(E^{c})) = \frac{2}{\mu(Q)} f_{Q,\mu} ((1 - \beta)\mu(Q) + \beta\mu(E^{c}))
\leq \frac{2}{\mu(Q)} f_{Q,\mu} ((1 - \beta)\mu(Q) + \beta(1 - \alpha)\mu(Q)) = 2(1 - \alpha\beta) f_{Q,\mu}.$$

The theorem is proved.

Corollary. The following characterization of $A_{\infty}(\mu)$ holds:

$$A_{\infty}(\mu) = \bigcup_{0 < \varepsilon < 2} GR_{\mu}(\varepsilon).$$

Since $A_{\infty}(\mu)$ condition is equivalent to the weighted reverse Hölder inequality for some p > 1 (cf. [12]), i.e.

(2)
$$\left(\frac{1}{\mu(Q)} \int_{Q} (f(x))^{p} d\mu(x)\right)^{1/p} \le c \frac{1}{\mu(Q)} \int_{Q} f(x) d\mu(x) \quad (Q \subset Q_{0}),$$

we see that for any $0 < \varepsilon < 2$ a function f satisfying the Gurov-Reshetnyak condition $GR_{\mu}(\varepsilon)$ belongs to $L^p_{\mu}(Q_0)$ for some p > 1. Observe that such approach (i.e. $GR_{\mu}(\varepsilon) \Rightarrow A_{\infty}(\mu) \Rightarrow$ Reverse Hölder) does not give the optimal order of integrability for small ε , though it is known [2, 4] in doubling case that $GR_{\mu}(\varepsilon) \subset L^{p(\varepsilon)}_{\mu}(Q_0)$, where $p(\varepsilon) \approx c_n/\varepsilon, \varepsilon \to 0$, and this order is sharp. However we will show that part (i) of Theorem 1 allows us to obtain the same order for any measure μ , any $0 < \varepsilon < 2$, and $f \in GR_{\mu}(\varepsilon)$. We will need the following

Covering Lemma [10]. Let E be a subset of Q_0 , and suppose that $\mu(E) \leq \rho \mu(Q_0)$, $0 < \rho < 1$. Then there exists a sequence $\{Q_i\}$ of cubes contained in Q_0 such that

- (i) $\mu(Q_i \cap E) = \rho \mu(Q_i)$;
- (ii) the family $\{Q_i\}$ is almost disjoint with constant B(n), that is, every point of Q_0 belongs to at most B(n) cubes Q_i ;
- (iii) $E' \subset \bigcup_i Q_i$, where E' is the set of μ -density points of E.

Recall that the non-increasing rearrangement of f on a cube Q_0 with respect to μ is defined by

$$f_{\mu}^{*}(t) = \sup_{E \subset Q_{0}: \mu(E) = t} \inf_{x \in E} |f(x)| \quad (0 < t < \mu(Q_{0})).$$

Denote $f_{\mu}^{**}(t) = t^{-1} \int_{0}^{t} f_{\mu}^{*}(\tau) d\tau$.

Theorem 2. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 2$, and $f \in GR_{\mu}(\varepsilon)$. Then for $\varepsilon < \lambda < 2$, $\rho < 1 - \lambda/2$, and $t \leq \rho\mu(Q_0)$ we have

(3)
$$f_{\mu}^{**}(t) \leq \left(B(n)\frac{\lambda/\rho + 1}{\lambda - \varepsilon}\varepsilon + 1\right)f_{\mu}^{*}(t).$$

Remark. A well-known argument due to Muckenhoupt (see, e.g., [11, Lemma 4]) shows that (3) implies the reverse Hölder inequality (2) for all $p < 1 + \left(\frac{\lambda - \varepsilon}{B(n)(\lambda/\rho + 1)}\right)\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$.

Proof of Theorem 2. Set $E = \{x \in Q_0 : f(x) > f_{\mu}^*(t)\}$, and apply the Covering Lemma to E and number ρ . We get cubes $Q_i \subset Q_0$, satisfying (i)-(iii). Since $\rho < 1 - \lambda/2$, we obtain from (i) that for each Q_i ,

$$\left(f\chi_{Q_i}\right)_{\mu}^*\left((1-\lambda/2)\mu(Q_i)\right) \le f_{\mu}^*(t).$$

Hence, by Theorem 1,

(4)
$$f_{Q_i,\mu} \le \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - \varepsilon} \left(f \chi_{Q_i} \right)_{\mu}^* \left((1 - \lambda/2) \mu(Q_i) \right) \le \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - \varepsilon} f_{\mu}^*(t),$$

and so,

(5)
$$\Omega_{\mu}(f;Q_i) \leq \frac{\varepsilon \lambda}{\lambda - \varepsilon} f_{\mu}^*(t).$$

Further, by (ii),

$$\sum_{i} \mu(Q_i \cap E) \le B(n)\mu(E) \le B(n)t.$$

Therefore, using a well-known property of rearrangement (see, e.g. [1]) and (4), (5), we obtain

$$t(f_{\mu}^{**}(t) - f_{\mu}^{*}(t)) = \int_{E} (f(x) - f_{\mu}^{*}(t)) d\mu(x) = \sum_{i} \int_{E \cap Q_{i}} (f(x) - f_{\mu}^{*}(t)) d\mu(x)$$

$$= \sum_{i} \int_{E \cap Q_{i}} (f(x) - f_{Q_{i},\mu}) d\mu(x) + \sum_{i} \mu(E \cap Q_{i}) (f_{Q_{i},\mu} - f_{\mu}^{*}(t))$$

$$\leq \frac{\varepsilon \lambda}{\lambda - \varepsilon} f_{\mu}^{*}(t) \sum_{i} \mu(Q_{i}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda - \varepsilon} f_{\mu}^{*}(t) \sum_{i} \mu(E \cap Q_{i})$$

$$\leq B(n) \frac{\lambda/\rho + 1}{\lambda - \varepsilon} \varepsilon t f_{\mu}^{*}(t),$$

which gives the desired result.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, Interpolation of operators, Academic Press, New York, 1988. MR 89e:46001
- [2] B. Bojarski, Remarks on the stability of reverse Hölder inequalities and quasi-conformal mappings, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. 10 (1985), 89–94. MR 87g:30019
- [3] R.R. Coifman and C. Fefferman, Weighted norm inequalities for maximal functions and singular integrals, Studia Math. 15 (1974), 241–250. MR 50:10670
- [4] M. Franciosi, Weighted rearrangements and higher integrability results, Studia Math. 92 (1989), no. 2, 131–139.
 MR 90e:42036
- [5] M. Franciosi, On weak reverse integral inequalities for mean oscillations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 113 (1991), no. 1, 105–112. MR 91k:42029
- [6] L.G. Gurov, On the stability of Lorentz mappings. Estimates for derivatives (Russian, English), Sov. Math., Dokl. 16 (1975), 56-59; translation from Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 220 (1975), 273-276. MR 53:11549
- [7] L.G. Gurov and Yu.G. Reshetnyak, A certain analogue of the concept of a function with bounded mean oscillation (Russian), Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 17 (1976), no. 3, 540–546. MR 55:596
- [8] T. Iwaniec, On L^p-integrability in PDEs and quasiregular mappings for large exponents, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. 7 (1982), no. 2, 301–322. MR 84h:30026
- [9] A.A. Korenovskii, The connection between mean oscillations and exact exponents of summability of functions (Russian), Mat. Sb. 181 (1990), no. 12, 1721–1727; translation in Math. USSR-Sb. 71 (1992), no. 2, 561–567 MR 92b:26019
- [10] J. Mateu, P. Mattila, A. Nicolau and J. Orobitg, BMO for nondoubling measures, Duke Math. J. 102, No.3, (2000), 533-565. MR 2001e:26019
- [11] B. Muckenhoupt, Weighted inequalities for the Hardy maximal function, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 165 (1972), 207-227. MR 45:2461
- [12] J. Orobitg, and C. Perez, A_p weights for nondoubling measures in \mathbb{R}^n and applications, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354** (2002), no. 5, 2013–2033. MR 1 881 028
- [13] I. Wik, Note on a theorem by Reshetnyak-Gurov, Studia Math. 86 (1987), no. 3, 287–290. MR 89d:26014

Department of Mathematical Analysis, IMEM, National University of Odessa, Dvoryanskaya, 2, 65026 Odessa, Ukraine

E-mail address: anakor@paco.net

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, BAR-ILAN UNIVERSITY, 52900 RAMAT GAN, ISRAEL

E-mail address: aklerner@netvision.net.il

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT, U-9, STORRS, CT 06268, USA *E-mail address*: stokolos@math.uconn.edu