

## Lecture Note #3 (Mathematical Induction)

### Exercises

#### 3) Strong form of Mathematical Induction

#1. [Example 5.4.2, p. 270] Define a sequence  $s_0, s_1, s_2, \dots$  as follows

$$s_0 = 0, s_1 = 4, s_k = 6s_{k-1} - 5s_{k-2} \text{ for all integers } k \geq 2.$$

Actually the whole proof is shown in the textbook (p. 270-271). You can see it there.

But since I went over this in the class, I type what I wrote (or close to it) here.

a) Find the first four terms

- $s_0 = 0$
- $s_1 = 4$
- $s_2 = 6 \cdot s_1 - 5 \cdot s_0 = 6 \cdot 4 - 5 \cdot 0 = 24$
- $s_3 = 6 \cdot s_2 - 5 \cdot s_1 = 6 \cdot 24 - 5 \cdot 4 = 144 - 20 = 124$

b) We are given that  $s_n = 5^n - 1$ . Prove true.

Proof: By induction on  $n$ . Let  $P(n) = 5^n - 1$  (for all  $n \geq 0$ ).

1) Basis step ( $n = 0$  and  $n = 1$ ):

When  $n = 0$ ,  $s_0 = 0$  (as given) and  $P(0) = 5^0 - 1 = 1 - 1 = 0$ .

When  $n = 1$ ,  $s_1 = 4$  (as given) and  $P(1) = 5^1 - 1 = 5 - 1 = 4$ .

Therefore,  $s_0 = P(0)$  and  $s_1 = P(1) \dots$  (A)

2) Inductive step:

[Inductive hypothesis] Assume  $s_i = P(i)$  for all integer  $0 \leq i \leq k$ , where  $k \geq 1$ , that is,

$$s_i = 6s_{i-1} - 5s_{i-2} = P(i) = 5^i - 1.$$

[Inductive statement] Show  $s_{k+1} = P(k+1)$ , that is,  $s_{k+1} = 6s_k - 5s_{k-1} = P(k+1) = 5^{k+1} - 1$ .

*LHS*

$$\begin{aligned} &= s_{k+1} \\ &= 6 \cdot s_k - 5 \cdot s_{k-1} \\ &= 6 \cdot [5^k - 1] - 5 \cdot [5^{k-1} - 1] \\ &= 6 \cdot 5^k - 6 - 5 \cdot 5^{k-1} + 5 \\ &= 6 \cdot 5^k - 1 - 5^k \\ &= 5 \cdot 5^k - 1 \\ &= 5^{k+1} - 1 \\ &= P(k+1) \\ &= \text{RHS} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $e_{k+1} = P(k+1) \dots$  (B)

By (A) and (B), we can conclude that the statement is true (for all integers  $n \geq 0$ ). QED.

---

#2. Write the Strong Mathematical Induction version of the problem given earlier, "For all integer  $n \geq 4$ ,  $n$  cents can be obtained by using 2-cent and 5-cent coins." Note the basis steps should prove  $P(4)$  and  $P(5)$ .

Proof:

1) Basis step ( $n = 4$  and  $n = 5$ ):

When  $n = 4$ , we can make 4 cents by two 2-cent coins.

When  $n = 5$ , we can make 5 cents by one 5-cent coin. ... (A)

2) Inductive step:

[Inductive hypothesis] Assume  $n \geq 6$ , and  $k$  cents can be obtained using 2-cent and 5-cent coins (only), for all  $k$ ,  $4 \leq k \leq n$ .

By the inductive hypothesis, we can make  $n-2$  cents (by taking out one 2-cent coin). So we can add a 2-cent coin to make  $n$  cents. ... (B)

By (A) and (B), we can conclude that the statement is true (for all integers  $n \geq 1$ ). QED.

---

#3. [Section 5.4, Exercise #5, p. 277] Suppose that  $e_0, e_1, e_2, \dots$  is a sequence defined as follows.

$$e_0 = 12, e_1 = 29$$

$$e_k = 5e_{k-1} - 6e_{k-2} \text{ for all integers } k \geq 2.$$

Prove that  $e_n = 5 \cdot 3^n + 7 \cdot 2^n$  for all integer  $n \geq 0$ . Use Strong Mathematical Induction.

Actually the whole proof is shown at the back of the textbook (p. A-38). You can see it there.

But since I went over this in the class, I type what I wrote (or close to it) here.

Proof: Let  $P(n) = 5 \cdot 3^n + 7 \cdot 2^n$  for all integer  $n \geq 0$ . We show the equivalency between the sequence  $e_n$  and  $P(n)$ , that is,  $e_n = 5e_{n-1} - 6e_{n-2} = P(n) = 5 \cdot 3^n + 7 \cdot 2^n$ .

3) Basis step ( $n = 0$  and  $n = 1$ ):

When  $n = 0$ ,  $e_0 = 12$  (as given) and  $P(0) = 5 \cdot 3^0 + 7 \cdot 2^0 = 5 + 7 = 12$ .

When  $n = 1$ ,  $e_1 = 29$  (as given) and  $P(1) = 5 \cdot 3^1 + 7 \cdot 2^1 = 15 + 14 = 29$ .

Therefore,  $e_0 = P(0)$  and  $e_1 = P(1) \dots$  (A)

4) Inductive step:

[Inductive hypothesis] Assume  $e_i = P(i)$  for all integer  $0 \leq i \leq k$ , where  $k \geq 1$ , that is,

$$e_i = 5e_{i-1} - 6e_{i-2} = P(i) = 5 \cdot 3^i + 7 \cdot 2^i.$$

[Inductive statement] Show  $e_{k+1} = P(k+1)$ , that is,  $e_{k+1} = 5e_k - 6e_{k-1} = P(k+1) = 5 \cdot 3^{k+1} + 7 \cdot 2^{k+1}$ .

LHS

$$= e_{k+1}$$

$$= 5 \cdot e_k - 6 \cdot e_{k-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 5 \cdot [5 \cdot 3^k + 7 \cdot 2^k] - 6 \cdot [5 \cdot 3^{k-1} + 7 \cdot 2^{k-1}] \dots \text{by inductive hypothesis} \\
&= 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 3^k + 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 2^k - 6 \cdot [5 \cdot 3^{k-1} + 7 \cdot 2^{k-1}] \\
&= 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 3^k + 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 2^k - 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 3^{k-1} - 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 2^{k-1} \\
&= 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 3^k + 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 2^k - 2 \cdot 5 \cdot 3^k - 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 2^k \\
&= 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 3^k + 2 \cdot 7 \cdot 2^k \\
&= 5 \cdot 3^{k+1} + 7 \cdot 2^{k+1} \\
&= P(k+1) \\
&= RHS
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $e_{k+1} = P(k+1) \dots$  (B)

By (A) and (B), we can conclude that the statement is true (for all integers  $n \geq 0$ ). QED.